

Announcements

1) Fix to 4a)

(need $a \neq 0$) - don't
look at 4b) yet.

2) Map for the course

We'll go through 2.5,
then start on Chapter 4
(determinants), go to
Chapter 5, then back to
Chapter 3.

Proposition: Let V, W, U
be vector spaces over \mathbb{F} .

Let $T: V \rightarrow W$, $S: V \rightarrow W$,
 $R: W \rightarrow U$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$.

If T, S , and R are linear,
then

- 1) αT is linear
- 2) $T+S$ is linear
- 3) $R \circ T$ is linear

proof: Let $x, y \in V$, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$.

$$1) (\alpha \cdot T)(x+y)$$

$$= \alpha \cdot T(x+y)$$

(function theoretic)

$$= \alpha \cdot (T(x) + T(y))$$

linearity of T

$$= \alpha \cdot T(x) + \alpha \cdot T(y)$$

$$= (\alpha \cdot T)(x) + (\alpha \cdot T)(y)$$

$$(\alpha \cdot T)(\beta \cdot x)$$

$$= \alpha \cdot (T(\beta \cdot x))$$

$$= \alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot T(x))$$

linearity of T

$$= (\alpha \cdot \beta) \cdot T(x)$$

$$= (\beta \cdot \alpha) \cdot T(x)$$

commutativity in \mathbb{F}

$$= \beta \cdot (\alpha \cdot T(x))$$

$$= \beta \cdot ((\alpha \cdot T)(x))$$



2) similarly tedious.

$$\begin{aligned} 3) & (R \circ T)(x+y) \\ &= R(T(x+y)) \text{ (definition)} \\ &= R(\underbrace{T(x)+T(y)}_{\text{linearity of } T}) \\ &= \underbrace{R(T(x))+R(T(y))}_{\text{linearity of } R} \\ &= (R \circ T)(x) + (R \circ T)(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$(R \circ T)(\beta x)$$

$$= R(T(\beta x))$$

$$= R(\underbrace{\beta T(x)}_{\text{linearity of } T})$$

linearity of T

$$= \underbrace{\beta \cdot R(T(x))}_{\text{linearity of } R}$$

linearity of R

$$= \beta \cdot ((R \circ T)(x))$$



Observation: The

previous proposition
yields that the set
of all linear maps
between vector spaces
 V and W (over \mathbb{F})
is also a vector space
over \mathbb{F} , denoted by

$$\mathcal{L}(V, W)$$

or $\mathcal{L}(V)$ when $V = W$

However $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is
much more than just
a vector space -
it is a ring!

In fact, $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is
an algebra over
 \mathbb{F} .

Proposition: Let V be
a vector space over \mathbb{F} .

Consider $S, T, R \in \mathcal{L}(V)$.

$$1) S \circ (T + R) = S \circ T + S \circ R$$

$$(S + T) \circ R = S \circ R + T \circ R$$

(distributivity)

$$2) (S \circ T) \circ R = S \circ (T \circ R)$$

(associativity)

3) The map $I_V: V \rightarrow V$

given by $I_V(x) = x$

$\forall x \in V$ satisfies

$I_V \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and

$$I_V \circ T = T \circ I_V = T$$

$\forall T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$

(unit)

$$4) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F},$$

$$\alpha(S \circ T)$$

$$= (\alpha S) \circ T$$

$$= S \circ (\alpha T)$$

(some form of scalar
associativity)

Proof: 1) only do

one of the equalities:

$$(S \circ T + S \circ R)(x)$$

$$= (S \circ T)(x) + (S \circ R)(x)$$

$$= S(T(x)) + S(R(x))$$

$$= S(T(x) + R(x))$$

linearity of S

$$= S((T+R)(x)) = (S \circ (T+R))(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2) & \left((S \circ T) \circ R \right) (x) \\ &= (S \circ T) (R(x)) \\ &= S (T (R(x))) \\ &= S ((T \circ R) (x)) \\ &= (S \circ (T \circ R)) (x) \end{aligned}$$

has nothing to do
with linearity!

3) I_V is trivially linear

since $\forall x, y \in V, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_V(x+y) &= x+y \\ &= I_V(x) + I_V(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_V(\alpha x) &= \alpha x \\ &= \alpha I_V(x). \end{aligned}$$

$$(T \circ I_V)(x)$$

$$= T(I_V(x))$$

$$= T(x)$$

$$= I_V(T(x))$$

$$= (I_V \circ T)(x)$$

and so $I_V \circ T = T = T \circ I_V$.

nothing to do with linearity
of T !

4) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, $x \in V$,

$$\alpha((S \circ T)(x))$$

$$= \alpha(S(T(x)))$$

$$= S(\underbrace{\alpha(T(x))}_{\text{by linearity of } S})$$

by linearity of S

$$= S((\alpha \cdot T)(x))$$

$$= (S \circ (\alpha \cdot T))(x)$$

$$((\alpha S) \circ T)(x)$$

$$= (\alpha \cdot S)(T(x))$$

$$= \alpha \cdot (S(T(x)))$$

$$= \alpha \cdot ((S \circ T)(x))$$



Example 1: (noncommutativity)

Consider $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ as
a vector space over \mathbb{R} .

Define $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$

by $T((x, y)) = (3x - 2y, x)$

and $S: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$S((x, y)) = (5y, x - y)$

$$(T \circ S)(x, y)$$

$$= T(5y, x-y)$$

$$= (15y - 2(x-y), 5y)$$

$$= (17y - 2x, 5y)$$

$$(S \circ T)((x, y))$$

$$= S((3x - 2y, x))$$

$$= (5x, 3x - 2y - x)$$

$$= (5x, 2x - 2y)$$

$\neq (T \circ S)((x, y))$ in

general. For example,

$$\text{if } x = y = 1$$

$$(S \circ T)(1, 1) = (5, 0)$$

$$(T \circ S)(1, 1) = (15, 5)$$

So composition need
not be commutative
useful!